

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/683,725	ROBERTS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Korie H. Chan	3632	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allowed

 (1) Korie H. Chan.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Albert W. Watkins.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 December 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Lofgren, claims 17 and 18*

Claims discussed:

*all*


Prior art documents discussed:

*Lofgren*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner propose that the combination of the clamp and boom stand is needed in claim 17 to place the application in allowable status. Mr. Wakens agreed to the proposal to replace the word "for" in line 1 of claim 17 to "in combination with".*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)